



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

SETON MEDICAL CENTER HAYS
1201 LAKE WOODLAND ST SUITE 4024
THE WOODLANDS TEXAS 77380

Respondent Name

Texas Mutual Insurance Co

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 54

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-13-1898-01

MFDR Date Received

March 25, 2013

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "...the Claimant was suffering from a recent onset of BACK PAIN."

Amount in Dispute: \$321.39

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The documented symptoms, objective findings, and level of treatment do not reflect the definition of a medical emergency at Rule 133.2. No payment is due.."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 8, 2012	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$321.39	\$321.39

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.2 defines an emergency.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline – Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - CAC-W1 – WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
 - 899 – DOCUMENTATION AND FILE REVIEW DOES NOT SUPPORT AN EMERGENCY IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 133.2
 - CAC-193 – ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.

Issues

1. Does the disputed service(s) meet the definition of emergency service?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. The insurance carrier denied disputed services with reason code, 899 – “DOCUMENTATION AND FILE REVIEW DOES NOT SUPPORT AN EMERGENCY IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 133.2”. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.2(4)(A) states that, “a medical emergency is the sudden onset of a medical condition manifested by acute symptoms of sufficient severity, including severe pain, that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in: (i) placing the patient’s health or bodily function in serious jeopardy, or (ii) serious dysfunction of any body organ or part.” The medical documentation meets the definition of an emergency pursuant to §133.2(4)(A). For example:
 - a. Emergency documentation Page Number 27 states, “Pt transferred from PACU after having an MRI started having back spasms.”
 - b. Emergency documentation page 2 states, Primary Pain Time Pattern: Chronic, Constant”.

The Division concludes the denial code 899 is not supported as submitted documentation shows condition was a “sudden onset”. The disputed services will therefore be reviewed per applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.

2. Carrier also responded stating requestor did not submit a re-consideration. Per submitted documentation a re-consideration was completed by Carrier on January 11, 2013. Review of documentation does not support the requestor was in violation of Rule 133.307(c)(2)(J).
3. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was not requested.
4. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code J3360 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
 - Procedure code 99283 has a status indicator of V, which denotes a clinic or emergency department visit paid under OPPS with separate APC payment. These services are classified under APC 0614, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$136.17. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$81.70. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9442 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$77.14. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$54.47. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$131.61. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$131.61. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$263.22.
 - Procedure code 96374 has a status indicator of S, which denotes a significant procedure, not subject to multiple-procedure discounting, paid under OPPS with separate APC payment. These services are classified under APC 0437, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$34.85. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$20.91. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9442 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$19.74. The non-

labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$13.94. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$33.68. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$33.68. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$67.36.

5. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$330.58. The amount previously paid by the insurance carrier is \$0.00. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$321.39. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$321.39.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$321.39, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	September 26, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.****

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.